

778.

[ADDITION TO MR HUDSON'S PAPER "ON EQUAL ROOTS OF EQUATIONS."]

[From the *Quarterly Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics*, vol. XVIII. (1882), pp. 226—229.]

It seems desirable to present in a more developed form some of the results of the foregoing paper.

Thus, if the equation $(a_0, a_1, \dots, a_n)x^n + 1 = 0$ of the order n has $n-v$ equal roots, where v is not $> \frac{1}{2}n - 1$, then we have $\psi(r, v+1, m) = 0$, where m has any one of the values $0, 1, \dots, n-2v-2$, and r any one of the values

$$2v+2, 2v+3, \dots, n-m.$$

The signification is

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(r, v+1, m) = & r \cdot 1 \cdot \frac{1}{[r]^{v+2}} a_m a_{r+m} \\ & - (r-2) \cdot \frac{v+1}{1} \cdot \frac{1}{[r-1]^{v+2}} a_{m+1} a_{r+m-1} \\ & + (r-4) \cdot \frac{v+1 \cdot v+2}{1 \cdot 2} \cdot \frac{1}{[r-2]^{v+2}} a_{m+2} a_{r+m-2} \\ & \vdots \\ & + (-)^s (r-2s) \cdot \frac{[v+1]^s}{[s]^s} \cdot \frac{1}{[r-s]^{v+2}} a_{m+s} a_{r+m-s} \\ & \vdots \\ & + (-)^{v+1} (r-2v-2) \cdot \frac{1}{[r-v-1]^{v+2}} a_{m+v+1} a_{r+m-v-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, when $v=0$, the condition is

$$\left. \begin{aligned} & r \cdot \frac{1}{r \cdot r-1} a_m a_{r+m} \\ & - (r-2) \frac{1}{r-1 \cdot r-2} a_{m+1} a_{r+m-1} \end{aligned} \right\} = 0,$$

that is,

$$a_m a_{r+m} - a_{m+1} a_{r+m-1} = 0,$$

satisfied when the equation has all its roots equal.

The values of m are $0, 1, 2, \dots, n-2$, and those of r are $2v+2, 2v+3, \dots, n-m$; in particular, if $m=0$, the values of r are $2, 3, \dots, n$, and the corresponding conditions are

$$\begin{aligned} a_0 a_2 - a_1^2 &= 0, \\ a_0 a_3 - a_1 a_2 &= 0, \\ &\vdots \\ a_0 a_n - a_1 a_{n-1} &= 0, \end{aligned}$$

and so for the different values of m up to the final value $n-2$, for which $r=2$, and the condition is

$$a_{n-2} a_n - a^2_{n-1} = 0;$$

we have thus, it is clear, the whole series of conditions included in

$$\left\| \begin{array}{l} a_0, a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{n-2}, a_{n-1} \\ a_1, a_2, a_3, \dots, a_{n-1}, a_n \end{array} \right\| = 0,$$

which are obviously satisfied in the case in question of the roots being all equal.

Again, when $v=1$, the condition for $n-1$ equal roots is

$$\left. \begin{aligned} r &\cdot 1 \cdot \frac{1}{r \cdot r - 1 \cdot r - 2} a_m a_{r+m} \\ - (r-2) \cdot 2 \cdot \frac{1}{r-1 \cdot r-2 \cdot r-3} a_{m+1} a_{r+m-1} \\ + (r-4) \cdot 1 \cdot \frac{1}{r-2 \cdot r-3 \cdot r-4} a_{m+2} a_{r+m-2} \end{aligned} \right\} = 0,$$

that is,

$$\frac{a_m a_{r+m}}{r-1 \cdot r-2} - \frac{2a_{m+1} a_{r+m-1}}{r-1 \cdot r-3} + \frac{a_{m+2} a_{r+m-2}}{r-2 \cdot r-3} = 0;$$

or, what is the same thing,

$$(r-3) a_m a_{r+m} - 2(r-2) a_{m+1} a_{r+m-1} + (r-1) a_{m+2} a_{r+m-2} = 0,$$

where $n=4$ at least, and m, r have the values

$m =$	$0, 1, 2, \dots, n-4$
$r =$	$4, 4, \quad 4$
	$5, 5$
	$\vdots \quad \vdots$
	$\vdots \quad n-1$
	n

thus, when $n=4$, the only values are $m=0, r=4$, and the condition is

$$a_0 a_4 - 4a_1 a_3 + 3a_2^2 = 0.$$

Similarly, when $v=2$, the condition for $n-2$ equal roots is found to be

$$\frac{a_m a_{r+m}}{r-1 \cdot r-2 \cdot r-3} - \frac{3a_{m+1} a_{r+m-1}}{r-1 \cdot r-3 \cdot r-4} + \frac{3a_{m+2} a_{r+m-2}}{r-2 \cdot r-3 \cdot r-5} - \frac{a_{m+3} a_{r+m-3}}{r-3 \cdot r-4 \cdot r-5} = 0;$$

or, what is the same thing,

$$\begin{aligned} & r-4 \cdot r-5 \cdot a_m a_{r+m} \\ & - 3 \cdot r-2 \cdot r-5 \cdot a_{m+1} a_{r+m-1} \\ & + 3 \cdot r-1 \cdot r-4 \cdot a_{m+2} a_{r+m-2} \\ & - \cdot r-1 \cdot r-2 \cdot a_{m+3} a_{r+m-3} = 0, \end{aligned}$$

where $n=6$ at least, and m, r have the values

$m =$	0, 1, ..., $n-6$
$r =$	6, 6, 6
	7, 7
	⋮
	⋮
	$n-1$
	n

Observe that the sum of the coefficients is $=0$, viz.

$$(r-4)(r-5) - 3(r-2)(r-5) + 3(r-1)(r-4) - (r-1)(r-2) = 0,$$

this should obviously be the case, since the conditions for $n-2$ equal roots must be satisfied when the roots are all of them equal; and the property serves as a verification.

It is to be remarked that the equation $\psi(r, v+1, m) = 0$ does not in all cases give all the conditions for the existence of $n-v$ equal roots in an equation of the order n ; thus when $n=3$ and $v=1$, we cannot by means of it obtain the condition that a cubic equation may have 2 equal roots. The problem really considered is that of the determination of those *quadric* functions of the coefficients which vanish in the case of $n-v$ equal roots; and in the case in question ($n=3, v=1$) there is no quadric function which vanishes, but the condition depends on a cubic function.

The question of the quadric functions which vanish in the case of $n-v$ equal roots, and to a small extent that of the *cubic* functions which thus vanish, is considered in Dr Salmon's "Note on the conditions that an equation may have equal roots," *Camb. and Dublin Math. Jour.*, t. v. (1850), pp. 159-165, and in particular the equation there obtained p. 161 is the equation $\psi(0, v+1, n) = 0$.