

511.

ADDITION TO THE MEMOIR ON GEODESIC LINES, IN
PARTICULAR THOSE OF A QUADRIC SURFACE (509).⁽¹⁾

[From the *Proceedings of the London Mathematical Society*, vol. IV. (1871—1873),
pp. 368—380. Read June 12, 1873.]

38. IN the Memoir above referred to, speaking of the geodesic lines on the skew hyperboloid, I say (No. 35), "The geodesic of initial direction $M1$ touches at M the oval curve of curvature $M1$, and lies wholly above this curve; it makes an infinity of convolutions round the upper part of the hyperboloid, cutting all the oval curves of curvature for which p has a positive value greater than p_1 (if p_1 is the value of p corresponding to the oval curve through M), and ascending to infinity." The statement as to the infinity of convolutions is incorrect; I was led to it by the assumption that the geodesic could not touch any hyperbolic curve of curvature. The fact is, that it touches at infinity (has for asymptotes) in general two hyperbolic curves of curvature; viz. the geodesic descending from infinity in the direction of a hyperbolic curve of curvature, so as to touch the oval curve through M , again ascends to infinity in the direction of a hyperbolic curve of curvature (the same as the first-mentioned one, or a different curve), making in its whole course say k convolutions, where m is a positive finite number; if $k < 1$, there is no complete convolution, and when $k = 1$ or any integer number, then the two hyperbolic curves are one and the same curve; k is infinite only in the special case afterwards referred to in the same No. 35, where the oval curve of curvature is the ellipse which is a principal section of the hyperboloid, and does not even attain to the value 1 except for an oval curve exceedingly close to this ellipse. The error was on consideration obvious enough, though I was in fact led to perceive it by the numerical calculations about to be referred to, which gave me geodesics not making a complete convolution.

¹ The articles are numbered consecutively with those of the Memoir, (509).

39. I have effected, for a particular skew hyperboloid and oval curve of curvature thereof, the numerical calculations for laying down the geodesic lines which touch this curve of curvature. Taking in general the equation of the hyperboloid to be

$$\frac{x^2}{a} + \frac{y^2}{b} + \frac{z^2}{c} = 1,$$

and the θ -curve of curvature to be the intersection by the confocal surface

$$\frac{x^2}{a-\theta} + \frac{y^2}{b-\theta} + \frac{z^2}{c-\theta} = 1,$$

then the selected values for the hyperboloid, and oval curve of curvature touched by the geodesics, are

$$\begin{aligned} a &= 900, \\ b &= 400, \\ c = -c' &= -1600, \\ \theta = -\theta' &= -1650; \end{aligned}$$

so that a, b, c', θ' are the positive values 900, 400, 1600, and 1650 respectively. I recall that $p=c'$ to $p=\infty$ gives the oval curves of curvature, viz. $p=c'$, the elliptic principal section; $p=\theta'$, the given oval curve: and that we are in the sequel concerned only with the oval curves above this, for which p extends from θ' to ∞ . Moreover, $q=-b$ to $-a$ gives the hyperbolic curves of curvature, viz. $q=-b$ the xz -principal section; and $q=-a$ the yz -principal section of the hyperboloid. We have, in fact, to deal with the integrals

$$\Pi(p) = \int_{\theta'}^p dp \sqrt{\frac{p}{(p+a)(p+b)(p-c')(p-\theta')}},$$

and

$$\Psi(q) = \int_{-b}^q dq \sqrt{\frac{q}{(q+a)(q+b)(q-c')(q-\theta')}};$$

or if $p=\theta'+u$, u extending from 0 towards ∞ , then

$$\Pi(p) = \int_0^u du \sqrt{\frac{u+\theta'}{(u+a+\theta')(u+b+\theta')(u+\theta'-c')u}},$$

and so if $q=-b-v$, v extending from 0 towards $(a-b)$, which is its limit,

$$\Psi(q) = \int_0^v dv \sqrt{\frac{v+b}{(a-b-v)v(v+b+c')(v+b+\theta')}};$$

the relation for any particular geodesic of the series being

$$\pm \Pi(p) \pm \Psi(q) = C.$$

40. To avoid discontinuity as to sign, it is convenient to take the integral $\Psi(q)$ in a particular manner. The hyperboloid is by the xz - and yz -principal planes divided into four quadrants; or since we attend only to the upper half of the hyperboloid, say this upper half is thus divided into four quadrants, x to y , y to x' , x' to y' , and y' to x ; or call them the first, second, third, and fourth quadrants. But we may consider the quadrants as forming an infinite succession, first, second, third, fourth, fifth,

and so on; or we may take them in the reverse order, $-1, -2, -3, \&c.$ For a hyperbolic curve $q = -b - v$ in the first quadrant the integral is to be taken $v = 0$ to $v = v$; for a curve in the second quadrant $v = 0$ to $a - b$, and thence positively $a - b$ to v ; for a curve in the third quadrant $v = 0$ to $a - b$, thence $a - b$ to 0 , and thence 0 to v ; and so on: and so for a point in the quadrant -1 , the integral is from 0 to v , taken negatively, &c.; that is, as the hyperbolic curve travels from the xz -position in the positive direction, the integral $\Psi(q)$ continually increases from zero; and if the curve travels from the xz -position in the negative direction, then the integral $\Psi(q)$ continually decreases from zero; that is, it increases negatively. It is to be remarked that the integral $v = 0$ to $a - b$ is finite, say it is $= K'$; and of course it is only thus far that the integral requires to be calculated, the subsequent values differing from the preceding ones only by multiples of this complete integral.

The integral $\Pi(p)$ requires no explanation; it is taken from $u = 0$, giving a certain oval curve, up to any positive value of u , giving the oval curves above this one; and, in particular, taking the integral to $u = \infty$ (or, what is the same thing, to $p = \infty$) its value is finite, $= K$, suppose.

41. Consider the geodesic which touches the given oval curve at a point for which $\Psi(q)$ has a given value Q ; at this point $p = \theta'$, or $\Pi(p) = 0$; so that, taking for the equation of the geodesic $\Psi(q) \pm \Pi(p) = C$, we have $Q = C$, and consequently

$$\Psi(q) = Q \mp \Pi(p).$$

Taking the positive sign, then as p increases from θ' , $\Psi(q)$ increases, or the describing point of the geodesic moves upwards from the point of contact in the direction of positive rotation; and taking the negative sign, then $\Psi(q)$ decreases, or the describing point of the geodesic moves upwards from the point of contact in the direction of negative rotation; and, in particular, p becoming infinite, then the first-mentioned branch touches at infinity the hyperbolic curve, for which q is such that $\Psi(q) = Q + K$, and the second branch that for which q is such that $\Psi(q) = Q - K$.

42. The graphical process is as follows: we describe, on the hyperboloid, a series of hyperbolic curves of curvature, numbering them according to the values of $\Psi(q)$; viz. considering the hyperbolic branches which form the xz , yz , $x'z$ and $y'z$ sections respectively, these are $0, K', 2K', 3K'$, and on going round a second time they would be $4K', 5K', 6K', 7K'$, and so on respectively. We similarly describe, say on the upper half of the hyperboloid, the oval curves of curvature, numbering them according to the values of $\Pi(p)$, viz. beginning with that for which $p = \theta'$, which is 0 , we go successively up to the oval curve at infinity, which is K .

In the example, and drawing belonging thereto⁽¹⁾, where, for convenience, the values of the integrals have been multiplied by 100,000, we have, as will appear, $K = 12490$, $K' = 34726$: the two sets of curves are drawn at intervals of 2000; viz. we have the hyperbolic curves $0, 2000, 4000, \dots, 34000, 34726$; and the oval curves $0, 2000, 4000, 6000, 8000, 10000$; the distance of the successive oval curves increases very rapidly, since the curve at infinity would be $K, = 12490$, and the curve $K = 10000$ is the last which comes into the limits of the figure.

¹ This drawing was exhibited at the meeting of the Society.

43. The two sets of curves of curvature being thus drawn at equal intervals of $\Pi(p)$ and $\Psi(q)$ respectively, dividing the hyperboloid into quadrilateral spaces (which of course should theoretically be indefinitely small), the diagonals of these quadrilateral spaces are the elements of the geodesic lines; and by a series of such elements we have a particular geodesic line. The general character comes out in the drawing very distinctly; viz. the geodesic is a hyperbola-like curve descending from infinity to touch the oval curve, and again ascending to infinity; by reason of the small value of K in comparison of K' , there is nothing like a complete convolution, but the whole curve is included within a quadrant of the hyperboloid.

44. I remark that the calculations were performed roughly. I made no attempt to estimate or allow for errors arising from the intervals being too great; and there are very probably accidental errors of calculation. But starting with the value 10411 of $\Pi(p)$ for $p=10000$, I found, with some care, superior and inferior limits of the remainder of the integral, $p=10000$ to $p=\infty$; and the process is, I think, an interesting one. Consider in general the integral

$$\begin{aligned} I &= \int_{\theta'}^{\infty} dp \sqrt{\frac{p}{(p+a)(p+b)(p-c')(p-\theta')}} \\ &= I_1 + \int_{p_1}^{\infty} dp \sqrt{\frac{p}{(p+a)(p+b)(p-c')(p-\theta')}} \end{aligned}$$

where I_1 is the integral calculated up to a somewhat large value $p=p_1$.

Writing

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha &= \frac{1}{2}(a+b), \\ \beta &= \frac{1}{2}(c'+\theta'), \\ \gamma &= \frac{1}{2}(a+b) - m, \\ \delta &= \frac{1}{2}(c'+\theta') + n, \end{aligned}$$

where m and n are as yet undetermined, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (p+a)(p+b) &= (p+\alpha)^2 - \frac{1}{4}(a-b)^2, > (p+\alpha)^2, \\ (p-c')(p-\theta') &= (p-\beta)^2 - \frac{1}{4}(\theta'-c')^2, > (p-\beta)^2; \end{aligned}$$

and the integral is thus

$$> I_1 + \int_{p_1}^{\infty} dp \frac{\sqrt{p}}{(p+\alpha)(p-\beta)}.$$

But we may determine m and n , so that for $p=$ or $> p_1$,

$$\begin{aligned} (p+a)(p+b) &< (p+\gamma)^2, \\ (p-c')(p-\theta') &< (p-\delta)^2; \end{aligned}$$

and the integral is then

$$< I_1 + \int_{p_1}^{\infty} dp \frac{\sqrt{p}}{(p+\gamma)(p-\delta)}.$$

The determination thus depends on the last-mentioned integrals, the values of which are at once obtainable by writing therein $\sqrt{p}=x$; viz. we have

$$\int dp \frac{\sqrt{p}}{(p+\alpha)(p-\beta)} = 2 \int \frac{x^2 dx}{(x^2+\alpha)(x^2-\beta)} = C + \frac{2}{\alpha+\beta} \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \beta h. l. \frac{x-\sqrt{\beta}}{x+\sqrt{\beta}} \right\} + \frac{2\sqrt{\alpha}}{\alpha+\beta} \tan^{-1} \frac{x}{\sqrt{\alpha}};$$

and hence, substituting in the formula, for $h.l.x$ its value $\frac{\log x}{\log e}$, the superior limit is

$$I_1 + \left\{ \frac{\sqrt{\delta}}{\gamma + \delta} \frac{1}{\log e} \log \frac{\sqrt{p_1 + \sqrt{\delta}}}{\sqrt{p_1 - \sqrt{\delta}}} + \frac{2\sqrt{\gamma}}{\gamma + \delta} \cot^{-1} \frac{\sqrt{p_1}}{\sqrt{\gamma_1}} \right\},$$

and the inferior limit is

$$I_1 + \left\{ \frac{\sqrt{\beta}}{\alpha + \beta} \frac{1}{\log e} \log \frac{\sqrt{p_1 + \sqrt{\beta}}}{\sqrt{p_1 - \sqrt{\beta}}} + \frac{2\sqrt{\alpha}}{\alpha + \beta} \cot^{-1} \frac{\sqrt{p_1}}{\sqrt{\alpha_1}} \right\}.$$

45. The numerical values are $p_1 = 10,000$; $a, b, c', \theta' = 900, 400, 1600, 1650$; and thence determining by trial values of m and n ,

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha &= 650, & \gamma &= 650 - 4 = 646, \\ \beta &= 1625, & \delta &= 1625 + 160 = 1785, \end{aligned}$$

I obtained for the logarithmic and circular terms of the two limits respectively

	Superior	Inferior
Logarithmic	·015668	·015144
Circular	·005202	·005593
	·020870	·020737

The value of I_1 was $10411 \div 100,000 = \cdot 104110$, and the two limits thus are $\cdot 124980$ and $\cdot 124850$; or restoring the factor 100,000, they are 12498 and 12485; the mean of these, say 12490, was taken for the value $\Pi(p)$, $p = \infty$; that is $K = 12490$.

46. As regards the calculation of the integrals $\Pi(p)$ and $\Psi(q)$, introducing the numerical values, and multiplying by the before-mentioned factor 100,000, we have ($q = -400 - v$),

$$\Psi(q) = 100,000 \int_0^v dv \sqrt{\frac{v + 400}{(500 - v)v(v + 2000)(v + 2050)}},$$

which for any small value of v is

$$= 100,000 \sqrt{\frac{400}{500 \cdot 2000 \cdot 2050}} \left(\int \frac{dv}{\sqrt{v}}, = 2\sqrt{v} \right);$$

viz. this is

$$= 883\cdot45 (\log = 2\cdot9461830) \sqrt{v},$$

which was used for the values $v = 1, 2, \dots, 10$, that is, to $q = -410$; after which the calculation was continued by quadratures giving to v the values 10, 20, 30, ... up to $v = 490$, or $q = -890$. For the remainder of the integral, writing $500 - v = w$ (that is, $q = -900 + w$), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi(q) - \Psi(-890) &= 100,000 \int dw \sqrt{\frac{900 - w}{w(500 - w)(2500 - w)(2550 - w)}}, \\ &= 100,000 \sqrt{\frac{900}{500 \cdot 2500 \cdot 2550}} \left\{ \int \frac{dw}{\sqrt{w}}, = 2(\sqrt{10} - \sqrt{w}) \right\} \\ &= 1062\cdot7 (\log = 3\cdot0264261) (\sqrt{10} - \sqrt{w}), \end{aligned}$$

which was used for the values $w=9, 8, 7, \dots, 1, 0$, to complete the calculation up to $q = -900$.

47. We have in like manner, $p = 1650 + u$,

$$\Pi(p) = 100000 \int_0^u du \sqrt{\frac{u + 1650}{(u + 2550)(u + 2050)(u + 50)u}},$$

which for small values of u is

$$= 100000 \sqrt{\frac{1650}{2550 \cdot 2050 \cdot 50}} \left(\int \frac{du}{\sqrt{u}}, = 2\sqrt{u} \right);$$

viz. this is

$$502.5 (\log = 2.7011399) \sqrt{u},$$

used for $u=1, 2, \dots, 10$, that is to $p=1660$. The calculation was afterwards continued by quadratures, by giving to u a succession of values, at intervals at first of 10, and afterwards of 20, 50, 100, 200, and 500, up to $p=10,000$, giving for the integral the value 10411; and thence, as appearing above, the value for $p=\infty$ was found to be = 12490.

48. After the calculation of the values of $\Pi(p)$ and $\Psi(q)$, it was easy by interpolation to revert these tables, so as to obtain a table which, for Π or Ψ as argument, gives the values of p and q . The arguments are taken at intervals of 500; up to 10000 as regards p , since the original table was only calculated thus far; and up to 34726 as regards q . I had thus calculated the annexed Table III., when it occurred to me that there was a convenience in taking the arguments to be submultiples of the complete integral 34726; say we divide this into 90 parts, or, as it were, graduate the quadrant of the hyperboloid by means of hyperbolic curves of curvature adapted for the geodesics in question. Taking every fifth part, or in fact dividing the quadrant into 18 parts, we have the Table IV.

49. It will be remembered that the foregoing results apply only to the geodesics which touch the oval curve of curvature $p = +1650$; for the geodesics touching any other oval curve of curvature, the values of the integrals, and the mutual distances of the curves of curvature used for tracing the geodesics, would be completely altered. But it is possible to derive some general conclusions as to the geodesics that touch a given oval curve of curvature.

Observe that the integral K' (= 34726 in the case considered) measures the quadrant of the hyperboloid; viz. $\Psi(q)=0$, $\Psi(q)=K'$ determine two hyperbolic curves of curvature (principal sections), the mutual distance whereof is a quadrant. Each geodesic touches the given oval curve of curvature, and it touches at infinity the two hyperbolic curves $\Psi(q) = Q \pm K$ ($K=12490$ in the case considered); viz. the distance of these in regard to the circuit of four quadrants, or say the amplitude of the geodesic, is measured by the ratio $\frac{K}{2K'}$.

50. Now it is easy to see that as the oval curve of curvature approaches the principal elliptic section, that is, as θ' approaches c' (or writing $\theta' = c' + m$, as m

diminishes towards zero), the integral K' alters its value only slowly, increasing towards a certain constant limit; but, contrariwise, K increases without limit, its value for any small value of m being of the form $A - B \log m, = \infty$ in the limit; wherefore, as m diminishes, the value of $\frac{K}{2K'}$, the amplitude of the geodesic, continually increases. If this is $= 1$, the geodesic touching at infinity a certain hyperbolic curve of curvature, in descending to touch the oval curve, makes round the hyperboloid a half-convolution, and then again ascends through another half-convolution to touch at infinity the same hyperbolic curve of curvature; viz. it makes in all one entire convolution, or say in descending it makes a half-convolution. But if $K \div 2K' = 2$, then the curve makes in descending a complete convolution; and so, if $K \div 2K' = 2s$, then the geodesic makes in descending s convolutions; and, as already mentioned, ultimately when $m = 0$ the geodesic makes an infinity of convolutions; that is, it never actually reaches the elliptic principal section, but has this line for an asymptote.

51. To sustain the foregoing statements, I write $\theta' (= c' + m) = 1600 + m$, and I consider the integral

$$K'_m = 100000 \int_0^\infty du \sqrt{\frac{u + 1600 + m}{(u + 2500 + m)(u + 2000 + m)(u + m)u}}$$

say for a moment this is

$$K'_m = \int_0^\infty U_m du.$$

Supposing m to be small, we divide the integral into two parts, say from 0 to α [where α , = for example 50 or 100, is large in comparison with m , but small in comparison with the numbers (c' , &c.), 1600, &c.], and from α to ∞ . In the second part, the expression under the integral sign and the value of the integral varies slowly with m , and we may, as an approximation, write $m = 0$. We have thus

$$K_m = \int_0^\alpha U_m du + \int_\alpha^\infty U_0 du,$$

and the first part hereof is

$$= 100000 \sqrt{\left(\frac{1600}{2500 \cdot 2000}\right)} \int_0^\alpha \frac{du}{\sqrt{u(u+m)}};$$

viz. the integral is here

$$h.l. \{u + \frac{1}{2}m + \sqrt{u(u+m)}\} = h.l. \frac{\alpha + \frac{1}{2}m + \sqrt{\alpha(\alpha+m)}}{\frac{1}{2}m} = h.l. \frac{4\alpha}{m} \text{ approximately;}$$

or say this is

$$= \frac{1}{\log e} \log \frac{4\alpha}{m}.$$

The first term is thus

$$= 100000 \sqrt{\frac{1600}{2500 \cdot 2000}} \frac{1}{\log e} \log \frac{4\alpha}{m},$$

which is

$$= 4119 \log \frac{4\alpha}{m},$$

or we have

$$K'_m = 4119 \log \frac{4\alpha}{m} + \int_{\alpha}^{\infty} U_0 du.$$

We ought to have the same value of the integral, whatever, within proper limits, the assumed value of α may be. Taking, for instance, $\alpha = 50$ and $\alpha = 100$, we ought to have

$$\begin{aligned} K'_m &= 4119 \log \frac{400}{m} + \int_{100}^{\infty} U_0 du \\ &= 4119 \log \frac{200}{m} + \int_{50}^{\infty} U_0 du; \end{aligned}$$

that is,

$$4119 \log 2 = \int_{50}^{100} U_0 du.$$

In verification, I calculated the second side by quadratures; viz. for the values 50, 60, 70, 80, 90, 100, the values of U_0 are 35.532, 29.570, 25.311, 22.373, 19.632, 17.645; whence, adding the half sum of the extreme terms to the sum of the mean terms, and multiplying by 10, the value of the integral is = 1234.74. The value of the left-hand side is = 1239.94, which is a sufficient agreement.

52. Returning to the formula for K'_m , this may be written

$$K'_m = \left(4119 \log 400 + \int_{100}^{\infty} U_0 du \right) - 4119 \log m.$$

I did not calculate the value of the integral in this formula, but determined the term in () in such wise that the formula should be correct for the foregoing value $m = 50$; viz. the term thus is

$$= 12490 + 4119 \log 50 = 12490 + 6998, = 19488, \text{ or say } 19500;$$

we thus have

$$K_m = 19500 - 4119 \log m;$$

and we may roughly assume that, for any small value of m , K'_m has the same value as for $m = 50$; viz. we may write

$$K'_m = 34726, \text{ or say } = 35000.$$

We thus see how to give to m such a value that the quantity $\frac{K}{2K'}$, which is the number of convolutions of the geodesic, may have any given value; and, in particular, we see how exceedingly small m must be for any moderately large number of convolutions; for instance, $m = \frac{1}{100,000,000}$ or $\log m = -8$, $K = 19500 + 32952, = \text{say } 52500$, or the number is = $\frac{525}{700}$, about five-sevenths of a convolution.

CORRECTION. Instead of speaking, as above, of a geodesic as touching at infinity a hyperbolic curve of curvature, the accurate expression is that the geodesic at infinity is parallel to a certain hyperbolic curve of curvature. The geodesic has, in fact, for asymptote the right line on the surface parallel at infinity to such curve of curvature. Added Dec. 1873.

TABLE I.

TABLE II.

p	$\Pi(p)$
1650	000
1	502
2	711
3	870
4	1005
5	1124
6	1231
7	1329
8	1421
9	1507
1660	1589
70	2188
80	2605
90	2933
1700	3205
10	3438
20	3642
30	3824
40	3989
50	4130
60	4287
70	4414
80	4532
90	4642
1800	4745
20	4945
40	5106
60	5261
80	5403
1900	5533
20	5654
40	5766
60	5871
80	5970
2000	6063
50	6275
100	6462
150	6629
200	6779
250	6916
300	7041
350	7156
400	7262
450	7362

q	$\Psi(q)$
- 400	000
1	883
2	1249
3	1530
4	1767
5	1975
6	2164
7	2337
8	2499
9	2650
410	2794
20	4016
30	4952
40	5752
50	6467
60	7124
70	7739
80	8321
90	8877
500	9413
10	9931
20	10436
30	10923
40	11406
50	11880
60	12347
70	12809
80	13266
90	13720
600	14171
10	14619
20	15067
30	15513
40	15960
50	16408
60	16857
70	17308
80	17762
90	18220
700	18682
10	19149
20	19623
30	20101
- 40	20588

TABLE I. (continued).

p	$\Pi(p)$
2500	7454
600	7625
700	7777
800	7913
900	8037
3000	8151
200	8352
400	8526
600	8679
800	8815
4000	8936
500	9216
5000	9423
500	9593
6000	9737
500	9861
7000	9970
500	10066
8000	10152
500	10229
9000	10299
500	10363
10000	10411
:	
∞	12490

TABLE II. (continued).

q	$\Psi(q)$
-750	21086
60	21595
70	22117
80	22653
90	23206
800	23778
10	24374
20	24998
30	25655
40	26355
50	27105
60	27928
70	28861
80	29936
890	31365
1	31538
2	31720
3	31914
4	32123
5	32350
6	32600
7	32886
8	33224
9	33663
-900	34726

TABLE III.

Π or Ψ	p	Diff.	q	Diff.
0	1650.0	1	-400.0	.3
500	1651.0	3	400.3	1.0
1000	1654.0	5	401.3	1.6
1500	1659.0	7.8	402.9	2.2
2000	1666.8	10.7	405.1	2.9
2500	1677.5	14.9	408.0	3.7
3000	1692.4	20.6	411.7	4.0
3500	1713.0	27.8	415.7	4.3
4000	1740.8	36.6	420.0	5.2
4500	1777.4	49.4	425.2	5.4
5000	1826.8	68.1	430.6	6.2
5500	1894.9	91.5	436.8	6.6
6000	1986.4	124.6	-443.4	7.1

TABLE III. (continued).

Π or Ψ	p	Diff.	q	Diff.
6500	2111	124.6	-450.5	7.1
7000	2283	172	458.1	7.6
7500	2527	244	466.1	8.0
8000	2870	353	474.5	8.4
8500	3285	415	483.2	8.7
9000	4114	829	492.3	9.1
9500	5226	1112	501.7	9.4
10000	7156	1930	511.3	9.6
500	.		521.1	9.8
11000	.		531.5	10.1
500	.		542.0	10.5
12000	.		552.5	10.8
500	∞		563.3	10.8
13000			574.2	10.9
500			585.1	10.9
14000			596.2	11.1
500			607.3	11.1
15000			618.5	11.2
500			629.7	11.2
16000			641.0	11.3
500			652.1	11.1
17000			663.2	11.1
500			674.2	11.0
18000			685.2	11.0
500			696.1	10.9
19000			706.8	10.7
500			717.4	10.6
20000			728.0	10.6
500			738.4	10.4
21000			748.3	9.9
500			758.1	9.8
22000			767.7	9.6
500			777.4	9.4
23000			786.4	9.0
500			795.1	8.7
24000			803.7	8.6
500			812.0	8.3
25000			820.0	8.0
500			827.6	7.6
26000			834.9	7.3
500			-841.9	7.0
				6.7

TABLE III. (continued).

Π or Ψ	p	Diff.	q	Diff.
27000			-848.6	6.7
500			854.8	6.2
28000			860.8	6.0
500			866.1	5.3
29000			871.3	5.2
500			876.0	4.7
30000			880.6	4.6
500			884.0	3.4
31000			887.5	3.5
500			890.8	3.3
32000			893.4	3.6
500			895.6	2.2
33000			897.5	1.7
500			898.6	1.1
34000			899.3	0.7
500			899.8	0.5
34726			-900	0.2

TABLE IV.

Π or Ψ	p	Diff.	q	Diff.
0	0	1650	-400	
1	1929	1665.7	404.8	4.8
2	3858	1732.1	418.7	13.9
3	5788	1944.2	440.5	21.8
4	7717	2657.7	469.6	29.1
5	9646	5697.7	504.5	34.9
6	11575		543.6	39.1
7	13504		585.2	41.6
8	15434		628.0	42.8
9	17363		671.2	43.2
10	19292		713.0	41.8
11	21221		752.7	39.7
12	23150		789.0	36.3
13	25079		821.2	32.2
14	27008		848.6	27.4
15	28938		870.7	22.1
16	30868		886.5	15.8
17	32797		896.7	10.2
18	34726		-900	3.3