

490.

ON A PROBLEM OF ELIMINATION.

[From the *Quarterly Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics*, vol. XI. (1871), pp. 99—101.]

I WRITE

$$P = (\alpha, \dots \xi x, y, z)^k, \quad Q = (\alpha', \dots \xi x, y, z)^k,$$

$$U = (a, \dots \xi x, y, z)^m, \quad V = (b, \dots \xi x, y, z)^n,$$

and I seek for the form of the relation between the coefficients (α, \dots) , (α', \dots) , (a, \dots) , (b, \dots) , in order that there may exist in the pencil

$$\lambda P + \mu Q = 0$$

a curve passing through *two* of the intersections of the curves $U = 0$, $V = 0$.

The ratio $\lambda : \mu$ may be determined so as that the curve $\lambda P + \mu Q = 0$ shall pass through one of the intersections of the curves $U = 0$, $V = 0$; or, what is the same thing, so as that the three curves shall have a common point; the condition for this is

$$\text{Reslt. } (\lambda P + \mu Q, U, V) = 0,$$

a condition of the form

$$(\lambda \alpha + \mu \alpha', \dots)^{mn} (a, \dots)^{kn} (b, \dots)^{km} = 0;$$

or, what is the same thing,

$$(\alpha, \dots, \alpha', \dots)^{mn} (a, \dots)^{kn} (b, \dots)^{km} (\lambda, \mu)^{mn} = 0,$$

which, for shortness, may be written

$$(A, \dots \xi \lambda, \mu)^{mn} = 0.$$

Suppose this equation has equal roots, then we have

$$\text{Disct. Reslt. } (\lambda P + \mu Q, U, V) = 0,$$

the discriminant being taken in regard to λ, μ . This is of the form

$$(A, \dots)^{2(mn-1)} = 0;$$

that is

$$(\alpha, \dots, \alpha', \dots)^{2mn(mn-1)} (\alpha, \dots)^{2kn(mn-1)} (b, \dots)^{2km(mn-1)} = 0.$$

It is moreover clear that the nilfactum is a combinant of the functions P, Q ; and the form of the equation is therefore

$$\left(\left\| \begin{array}{c} \alpha, \beta, \dots \\ \alpha', \beta', \dots \end{array} \right\| \right)^{mn(mn-1)} (\alpha, \dots)^{2kn(mn-1)} (b, \dots)^{2km(mn-1)} = 0.$$

Now the equation in question will be satisfied, 1°. if the curves $U=0, V=0$ touch each other; let the condition for this be $\nabla=0$. 2°. If there exists a curve $\lambda P + \mu Q = 0$ passing through two of the intersections of the curves $U=0, V=0$; let the condition be $\Omega=0$. There is reason to think that the equation contains the factor Ω^2 , and that the form thereof is $\Omega^2 \nabla = 0$.

Assuming that this is so, and observing that ∇ , the osculant or discriminant of the functions U, V , is of the form

$$\nabla = (a, \dots)^{n(n+2m-3)} (b, \dots)^{m(m+2n-3)},$$

we have

$$\Omega^2 = \left(\left\| \begin{array}{c} \alpha, \beta, \dots \\ \alpha', \beta', \dots \end{array} \right\| \right)^{mn(mn-1)} (a, \dots)^{kn(n-1)(2m-1) + (k-1)n(n+2m-3)} \times \\ (b, \dots)^{km(m-1)(2n-1) + (k-1)m(m+2n-3)},$$

and consequently

$$\Omega = \left(\left\| \begin{array}{c} \alpha, \beta, \dots \\ \alpha', \beta', \dots \end{array} \right\| \right)^{\frac{1}{2}mn(mn-1)} \\ (a, \dots)^{\frac{1}{2}n(n-1)k(2m-1) + \frac{1}{2}(k-1)n(n+2m-3)} \times \\ (b, \dots)^{\frac{1}{2}m(m-1)k(2n-1) + \frac{1}{2}(k-1)m(m+2n-3)},$$

which is the solution of the proposed question. Suppose for instance $n=1$, then

$$\Omega = \left(\left\| \begin{array}{c} \alpha, \beta, \dots \\ \alpha', \beta', \dots \end{array} \right\| \right)^{\frac{1}{2}m(m-1)} (a, \dots)^{(k-1)(m-1)} (b, \dots)^{\frac{1}{2}m(m-1)k + \frac{1}{2}(k-1)(m-1)}.$$

If moreover $k=1$, then

$$\Omega = \left(\left\| \begin{array}{c} \alpha, \beta, \dots \\ \alpha', \beta', \dots \end{array} \right\| \right)^{\frac{1}{2}m(m-1)} (b, \dots)^{\frac{1}{2}m(m-1)};$$

this is right, for writing $P = \alpha x + \beta y + \gamma z$, $Q = \alpha' x + \beta' y + \gamma' z$, $V = bx + b' y + b'' z$, then if two of the intersections of the curve $U = 0$ with the line $V = 0$ lie in a line with the point $P = 0$, $Q = 0$, then the point in question, that is the point $(\beta\gamma' - \beta'\gamma, \gamma\alpha' - \gamma'\alpha, \alpha\beta' - \alpha'\beta)$, must lie in the line $V = 0$; and the condition reduces itself to

$$\{(\beta\gamma' - \beta'\gamma, \gamma\alpha' - \gamma'\alpha, \alpha\beta' - \alpha'\beta)\}^{\frac{1}{2}m(m-1)} b, b', b'' = 0,$$

where the index $\frac{1}{2}m(m-1)$ is accounted for as denoting the number of pairs of points out of the m intersections of the curve $U = 0$ with the line $V = 0$.

If in general $k = 1$, then writing as before $P = \alpha x + \beta y + \gamma z$, $Q = \alpha' x + \beta' y + \gamma' z$, we have

$$\Omega = (\beta\gamma' - \beta'\gamma, \dots)^{\frac{1}{2}mn(mn-1)} (a, \dots)^{\frac{1}{2}n(n-1)(2m-1)} (b, \dots)^{\frac{1}{2}m(m-1)(2n-1)},$$

where $\Omega = 0$ is the condition in order that the point $(\beta\gamma' - \beta'\gamma, \dots)$ may lie *in lined* with two of the intersections of the curves $U = 0$, $V = 0$. Or writing (X, Y, Z) for the coordinates of the given point, the condition is

$$\Omega = (a, \dots)^{\frac{1}{2}n(n-1)(2m-1)} (b, \dots)^{\frac{1}{2}m(m-1)(2n-1)} (X, Y, Z)^{\frac{1}{2}mn(mn-1)} = 0.$$

I have found that if

$$U = (a, \dots)\xi x, y, z)^m, \quad V = (b, \dots)\xi x, y, z)^n,$$

$$W = (c, \dots)\xi x, y, z)^p, \quad T = (d, \dots)\xi x, y, z)^q,$$

the condition in order that the point (X, Y, Z) may lie *in lined* with one of the intersections of the curves $U = 0$, $V = 0$, and one of the intersections of the curves $W = 0$, $T = 0$, is

$$\Omega = (a, \dots)^{npq} (b, \dots)^{mpq} (c, \dots)^{mnq} (d, \dots)^{mnp} (X, Y, Z)^{mnpq} = 0.$$

Supposing that the curves $W = 0$, $T = 0$ become identical with the curves $U = 0$, $V = 0$ respectively, this becomes

$$\Omega = (a, \dots)^{n^2 \cdot 2m} (b, \dots)^{m^2 \cdot 2n} (X, Y, Z)^{mn \cdot mn} = 0,$$

and the variation from the correct form given above is what might have been expected.