## 269.

## A SEVENTH MEMOIR ON QUANTICS.

[From the Philosophical Transacticins of the Royal Society of London, vol. CLI. (for the year 1861), pp. 277-292. Received February 28,—Read March 14, 1861.]

The present memoir relates chiefly to the theory of ternary cubics. Since the date of my Third Memoir on Quantics, [144], M. Aronhold has published the continuation of his researches on ternary cubics, in the memoir "Theorie der homogenen Functionen dritten Grades von drei Veränderlichen," Crelle, t. Lv. pp. 97-191 (1858). He there considers two derived contravariants, linear functions of the fundamental ones, and which occupy therein the position which the fundamental contravariants $P U, Q U$ do in my Third Memoir; in the notation of the present memoir these derived contravariants are

$$
\begin{aligned}
& Y U=\quad 3 T \cdot P U-4 S \cdot Q U \\
& Z U=-48 S^{2} \cdot P U+T \cdot Q U
\end{aligned}
$$

and for the canonical form $x^{3}+y^{3}+z^{3}+6 l x y z$, they acquire respectively the factor $\left(1+8 l^{3}\right)^{2}$, viz. in this case

$$
\begin{aligned}
& Y U=\left(1+8 l^{3}\right)^{2}\left\{\quad l\left(\xi^{3}+\eta^{3}+\zeta^{3}\right)-3 \quad \xi \eta \zeta\right\}, \\
& Z U=\left(1+8 l^{3}\right)^{2}\left\{\left(1+2 l^{3}\right)\left(\xi^{3}+\eta^{3}+\zeta^{3}\right)+18 l^{2} \xi \eta \zeta\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

The derived contravariants have with the covariants $U, H U$, even a more intimate connexion than have the contravariants $P U, Q U$; and the advantage of the employment of $Y U, Z U$ fully appears by M. Aronhold's memoir.

But the conclusion is, not that the contravariants $P U, Q U$ are to be rejected, but that the system is to be completed by the addition thereto of two derived covariants, linear functions of $U, H U$; these derived covariants, suggested to me by M. Aronhold's memoir, are in the present memoir called $C U, D U$; their values are

$$
\begin{aligned}
& C U=-T \cdot U+24 S \cdot H U \\
& D U=8 S^{2} \cdot U-3 T \cdot H U
\end{aligned}
$$

and for the canonical form $x^{3}+y^{3}+z^{3}+6 l x y z$, they acquire respectively, not indeed $\left(1+8 l^{3}\right)^{2}$, but the simple power $\left(1+8 l^{3}\right)$, as a factor, viz. in this case

$$
\begin{aligned}
& C U=\left(1+8 l^{3}\right)\left\{\left(-1+4 l^{3}\right)\left(x^{3}+y^{3}+z^{3}\right)+\quad 18 l x y z\right\} \\
& D U=\left(1+8 l^{3}\right)\left\{l^{2}\left(5+4 l^{3}\right)\left(x^{3}+y^{3}+z^{3}\right)+3\left(1-10 l^{3}\right) x y z\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

it was in fact by means of this condition as to the factor $\left(1+8 l^{3}\right)$, that the foregoing expressions for $C U, D U$ were obtained ( ${ }^{1}$ ).

The formulæ of my Third Memoir and those of M. Aronhold are by this means brought into harmony and made parts of a whole; instead of the two intermediates

$$
\alpha U+6 \beta H U, \quad 6 \alpha P U+\beta Q U
$$

in Tables 68 and 69 of my Third Memoir, or of the intermediates

$$
\alpha U+6 \beta H U, \quad-2 \alpha Y U+2 \beta Z U
$$

of M. Aronhold's theory, we have the four intermediates

$$
\alpha U+6 \beta H U, \quad-2 \alpha Y U+2 \beta Z U, \quad 2 \alpha C U-2 \beta D U, \quad 6 \alpha P U+\beta Q U,
$$

in Tables $74,75,76$, and 7,7 of the present memoir. These four Tables embrace the former results, and the new ones which relate to the covariants $C U, D U$; and they are what is most important in the present memoir. I have, however, excluded from the Tables, and I do not in the memoir consider (otherwise than incidentally) the covariant of the sixth order $\Theta U$, or the contravariant (reciprocant) $F U$.

I have given in the memoir a comparison of my notation with that of M. Aronhold. A short part of the memoir relates to the binary cubic and the binary quartic, viz. each of these quantics has a covariant of its own order, forming with it an intermediate $\alpha U+\beta W$, the covariants whereof contain quantics in $(\alpha, \beta)$, the coefficients of which are invariants of the original quantic. The formulæ which relate to these cases are in fact given in my Fifth Memoir, [156], but they are reproduced here in order to show the relations between the quantics in $(\alpha, \beta)$ contained in the formulæ. As regards the binary quartic, these results are required for the discussion of the like question in regard to the ternary cubic, viz. that of finding the relations between the different quantics in $(\alpha, \beta)$ contained in the formulæ relating to the ternary cubic. Some of these relations have been obtained by M. Hermite in the memoir "Sur les formes cubiques à trois indéterminées," (Liouville, t. III. pp. 37-40 (1858), and in that "Sur la Résolution des équations du quatrième degré," Comptes Rendus, xlvi. p. 715 (1858), and by M. Aronhold in his memoir already referred to; and in particular I reproduce and demonstrate some of the results in the last-mentioned memoir of M. Hermite. But the relations in question are in the present memoir exhibited in a more complete and systematic form.

[^0]The paragraphs and Tables of the present memoir are numbered consecutively with those of my former memoirs on Quantics.
231. For the binary cubic ( $a, b, c, d \gamma x, y)^{3}$, if $U$ be the cubic itself, $H U$ the Hessian, $\Phi U$ the cubicovariant, and $\square$ the discriminant (see Fifth Memoir, Nos. 115, 118), then

Covariant and other Tables, No. 71.

$$
\begin{array}{lr}
H(\alpha U+\beta \Phi U)= & \left(\alpha^{2}-\beta^{2} \square\right) H U \\
\Phi(\alpha U+\beta \Phi U)= & -\frac{1}{2} \partial_{\beta}\left(\alpha^{2}-\beta^{2} \square\right) \cdot U \\
& +\frac{1}{2} \partial_{a}\left(\alpha^{2}-\beta^{2} \square\right) \cdot U \\
\square(\alpha U+\beta \Phi U)= & \left(\alpha^{2}-\beta^{2} \square\right)^{2} \square
\end{array}
$$

so that the quantics in $(\alpha, \beta)$ all of them depend on $\alpha^{2}-\beta^{2} \square$.
232. For the binary quartic $\left(a, b, c, d, e^{\chi} x, y\right)^{4}$, if $U$ be the quartic itself, $H U$ the Hessian, $\Phi U$ the cubicovariant, $I, J$, the quadrinvariant and the cubinvariant, and $\square\left(=I^{3}-27 J^{2}\right.$ ) the discriminant (see Fifth Memoir, Nos. 128, 134), then

## Table No. 72.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Phi(\alpha U+6 \beta H U)= & (1,0,-9 I,-54 J \gamma \alpha, \beta)^{3} \Phi U \\
H(\alpha U+6 \beta H U)= & -\frac{1}{18} \partial_{\beta}(1,0,-9 I,-54 J \gamma \alpha, \beta)^{3} \cdot U \\
& +\frac{1}{3} \partial_{\alpha}\left(1,0,-9 I,-54 J \gamma(\alpha, \beta)^{3} \cdot H U\right. \\
I(\alpha U+6 \beta H U)= & \left(I, 18 J, 3 I^{2} \gamma \alpha, \beta\right)^{2}, \\
J(\alpha U+6 \beta H U)= & \left(J, I^{2}, 9 I J,-I^{3}+54 J^{2} \gamma \alpha, \beta\right)^{3}, \\
\square(\alpha U+6 \beta H U)= & \left({ }^{1}\right)\left(1,0,-18 I, 108 J, 81 I^{2}, 972 I J, 2916 J^{2} \gamma \alpha, \beta\right)^{6} \square \\
= & =\left[\left(1,0,-9 I,-54 J \gamma(\alpha, \beta)^{3}\right]^{2} \square .\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

233. Writing for the moment

$$
G=\left(1,0,-9 I,-54 J \gamma(\alpha, \beta)^{3},\right.
$$

then the Hessian, cubicovariant, and discriminant of this cubic function of $(\alpha, \beta)$ are respectively

$$
\begin{aligned}
& H G=-3\left(I, 18 J, 3 I^{2} \gamma \alpha, \beta\right)^{2} \\
& \Phi G=54\left(J, I^{2}, 9 I J,-I^{3}+54 J^{2} \gamma(\alpha, \beta)^{3},\right. \\
& \square G=\quad-108 \square
\end{aligned}
$$

so that the covariants of the intermediate $\alpha U+6 \beta H U$ are all of them expressible by means of the cubic function $G$.

[^1]It may be noticed that $G$ is what the left-hand side of the equation

$$
4(H U)^{3}-4 I \cdot H U \cdot U^{2}+J U^{3}=-(\Phi U)^{2}
$$

(see Fifth Memoir, No. 128) becomes on writing therein $\alpha,-6 \beta$, for $U, H U$ respectively, and throwing out the factor 4.
234. I take the opportunity of remarking with respect to a binary quartic $U=(a, b, c, d \chi x, y)^{4}$, that the Hessian of the cubicovariant, to fix the numerical factor, say $-\frac{1}{5}\left\{\partial_{x}{ }^{2} \Phi U . \partial_{y}{ }^{2} \Phi U-\left(\partial_{x} \partial_{y} \Phi U\right)^{2}\right\}$, is

$$
=I^{2} U^{2}-36 J \cdot U \cdot H U+12 I(H U)^{2}
$$

which is

$$
=\left(I U-\frac{18 J}{I} H U\right)^{2}+\frac{12}{I^{2}}\left(I^{3}-27 J^{2}\right)(H U)^{2} ;
$$

or if $I^{3}-27 J^{2}=0$, that is if the quartic has a pair of equal factors, the Hessian of the cubicovariant is a perfect square.
235. Coming now to the ternary cubic $U=(a, b, c, f, g, h, i, j, k, l \not \subset x, y, z)^{3}$, I give in the first place the following comparison of my notation with that of M. Aronhold.

| Aronhold. | CAYLEY. |
| :---: | :---: |
| $f$ | $U$ |
| $\Delta f$ | $-6 H U$ |
| $S$ | $4 S$ |
| $T$ | $-T$ |
| $R$ | $-R$ |
| $S_{f}$ | $-6 P U$ |
| $T_{f}$ | $-2 Q U$ |
| $J$ | $64 S^{3} \div T^{2}$ |
| $P_{f}$ | $-2 Y U$ |
| $Q_{f}$ | $2 Z U$ |
| $\cdots$ | $C U$ |
| $\cdots$ | $D U$ |
| $\Theta$ | $\cdots$ |
| $H$ | $-F U$ |
| $F$ | $2\left(\Theta_{, \prime} U-T U^{2}+4 S U . H U\right)$, |

where the notations $Y U, Z U$ (to correspond to M . Aronhold's $P_{f}, Q_{f}$ ) and the notations $C U, D U$ are first employed in the present memoir. I remark in regard to $P_{f}(=-2 Y U)$, where, as already mentioned,

$$
Y U=\left(1+8 l^{3}\right)^{2}\left\{l\left(\xi^{3}+\eta^{3}+\zeta^{3}\right)-3 \xi \eta \xi\right\}
$$

that in my Memoir on Curves of the Third Order (Phil. Trans. t. Cxlvir. (1857), see p. 427), [146], I was led incidentally to the curve

$$
l\left(\xi^{3}+\eta^{3}+\zeta^{3}\right)-3 \xi \eta \zeta=0
$$

and that I there gave the equation

But the curve

$$
3 T \cdot P U-4 S \cdot Q U=\left(1+8 l^{3}\right)^{3}\left\{l\left(\xi^{3}+\eta^{3}+\zeta^{3}\right)-3 \xi \eta \zeta\right\} .
$$

$$
\left(1+2 l^{3}\right)\left(\xi^{3}+\eta^{3}+\zeta^{3}\right)+18 l^{2} \xi \eta \zeta=0
$$

which corresponds to $\left(Q_{f}=2 Z U\right)$, does not occur in that memoir.
236. I remark, further, in regard to M. Aronhold's $\Theta, H$, that these are what he calls "Zwischenformen," viz. they are covariants of the cubic and of the adjoint linear form $\xi x+\eta y+\zeta z$, or as they might be termed Contracovariants. For the canonical form $U=x^{3}+y^{3}+z^{3}+6 l x y z$, the value of $\frac{1}{2} \Theta$ is

$$
\left(y z-l^{2} x^{2}, z x-l^{2} y^{2}, x y-l^{2} z^{2}, l^{2} y z-l x^{2}, l^{2} z x-l y^{2}, l^{2} x y-l z^{2} \gamma \xi, \eta, \zeta\right)^{2}
$$

which is a form which occurs incidentally in my memoir last referred to (see p. 427). The value of $H$ in the same case is

$$
\left(-2 l\left(1+2 l^{3}\right) x^{2}-6 l y z, ., .,-\left(1+4 l^{3}\right) x^{2}+2 l\left(1+2 l^{3}\right) y z, ., \chi \xi, \eta, \zeta\right)^{2}
$$

which does not occur in that memoir. In my Third Memoir on Quantics I purposely abstained from the consideration of any such forms.
237. My covariants $\Theta U$ and $\Theta, U$ involved unsymmetrically the cubic and its Hessian, and it did not occur to me how a similar covariant, such as M. Aronhold's $\psi$, which involves the two functions symmetrically, was to be formed. Let $(A, B, C)$ be the first derived functions, $(a, b, c, f, g, h)$ the second derived functions of the cubic $U$, and $\left(A^{\prime}, B^{\prime}, C^{\prime}\right)$ the first derived functions, $\left(a^{\prime}, b^{\prime}, c^{\prime}, f^{\prime}, g^{\prime}, h^{\prime}\right)$ the second derived functions of the Hessian $H U$, then disregarding numerical factors, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Theta U=\left(b c-f^{2}, \ldots, g h-a f, \ldots \gamma A^{\prime}, B^{\prime}, C^{\prime}\right)^{2}, \\
& \Theta, U=\left(b^{\prime} c^{\prime}-f^{\prime 2}, \ldots, g^{\prime} h^{\prime}-a^{\prime} f^{\prime}, \ldots \gamma A, B, C\right)^{2},
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\psi=\left(b c^{\prime}+b^{\prime} c-2 f f^{\prime}, \ldots, g h^{\prime}+g^{\prime} h-a f^{\prime}-a^{\prime} f, \ldots \chi A, B, C \chi A^{\prime}, B^{\prime}, C^{\prime}\right)
$$

and considering $U=0$ as the equation of a curve of the third order, the equations $\Theta U=0, \Theta, U=0, \psi=0$ have the following significations, viz. $\Theta U=0$ is the locus of a point, such that its second or line polar with respect to the Hessian touches its first or conic polar with respect to the cubic: $\Theta, U$ is the locus of a point such that its second or line polar with respect to the cubic touches its first or conic polar with respect to the Hessian: and $\psi=0$ is the locus of a point such that its second or line polar with respect to the cubic, and its second or line polar with respect to the Hessian are reciprocals (that is, each passes through the pole of the other of them) with respect to the conic which is the envelope of a line cutting the first or conic polar of the point with respect to the cubic, and the first or conic polar of the point with respect to the Hessian, in two pairs of points which are harmonically related to each other: such being in fact the immediate interpretation of the analytical formula. But this in passing.
C. IV.
238. The formulæ (Tables 68 and 69 of my Third Memoir) for the discriminants of the intermediates $\alpha U+6 \beta H U$ and $6 \alpha P U+\beta Q U$ respectively are

$$
\begin{aligned}
& R(\alpha U+6 \beta H U)=\left[\left(1, \quad 0,-24 S,-8 T,-48 S^{2} \quad \gamma \alpha, \beta\right)^{4}\right]^{3} R, \\
& R(6 \alpha P U+\beta Q U)=\left[\left(48 S, 8 T,-96 S^{2},-24 T S,-T^{2}-16 S^{3} \gamma \alpha, \beta\right)^{4}\right]^{3} R .
\end{aligned}
$$

In M. Hermite's paper in the Comptes Rendus, already referred to, there are given between these quantics in $(\alpha, \beta)$ certain relations which (although less simple than the relations that will afterwards be obtained) I now proceed to investigate. Putting in the first formula $\alpha \div \beta=p$, and in the second formula $\alpha \div \beta=\theta$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& R(p U+6 H U)=0, \text { if }\left(1, \quad 0,-24 S,-8 T,-48 S^{2} \quad(p, 1)^{4}=0,\right. \\
& R(6 \theta P U+Q U)=0, \text { if }\left(48 S, 8 T,-96 S^{2},-24 T S,-T^{2}-16 S^{3} \gamma \theta, 1\right)^{4}=0,
\end{aligned}
$$

which equations in $p, \theta$, are about to be considered in place of the quantics from which they respectively arise.
239. It is convenient to write $\left({ }^{1}\right)$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A=4 S \\
& B=\sqrt[3]{T^{2}-64 S^{3}}
\end{aligned}
$$

(so that $T^{2}=A^{3}+B^{3}$ and, for the canonical form,

$$
\left.A=-4 l+4 l^{3}, \quad B=1+8 l^{3}\right)
$$

Making this change, and joining to the equation in $p$ that derived from it by writing $q$ for $p$, and interchanging $A, B$, we have the three equations

$$
\left.\begin{array}{l}
\left(1, \quad 0,-6 A,-8 T,-3 A^{2} \quad \gamma p, 1\right)^{4}=0 \\
(1,
\end{array} \quad 0,-6 B,-8 T,-3 B^{2}-\gamma q, 1\right)^{4}=0, ~\left(12 A, 8 T,-6 A^{2},-6 T A,-T^{2}-\frac{1}{4} A^{3} \gamma \theta, 1\right)^{4}=0 .
$$

240. The signification of the equation in $q$ is as follows, viz. if the quantic

$$
U=(* \chi x, y, z)^{3}
$$

is transformed into the canonical form

$$
X^{3}+Y^{3}+Z^{3}+6 l X Y Z
$$

by means of the linear equations

$$
(x, y, z)=(\Lambda \gamma X, Y, Z)
$$

${ }^{1} A$ is (Aronhold's and) Hermite's $S, B$ is Hermite's $S_{1}$, and $p, q, \theta, \Lambda$ are Hermite's $\delta, \delta_{1}, \Delta, d$ : there is a slight inaccuracy in three of his formulæ, which should be

$$
\Delta=-\frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{S}\left(T+\frac{S_{1}^{2}}{\delta_{1}}\right), \quad \delta_{1}=\frac{24 S^{2}}{f^{\prime} \delta}, \quad \delta=\frac{24 S^{2}}{f_{1}^{\prime} \delta},
$$

corresponding to formulæ in the present memoir.
where $\Lambda$ is a matrix, then using the same letter $\Lambda$ to represent the determinant formed out of this matrix, or determinant of substitution, we have

$$
q=\frac{3}{\Lambda^{2}},
$$

so that the equation in $q$ is one that presents itself in the question of the reduction of the cubic to its canonical form.

In fact the linear transformation gives

$$
\begin{aligned}
& S \Lambda^{4}=-l+l^{4}, \\
& T \Lambda^{6}=1-20 l^{3}-8 l^{6},
\end{aligned}
$$

and thence

$$
\left(T^{2}-64 S^{3}\right) \Lambda^{\prime 2}=\left(1+8 l^{3}\right)^{3},
$$

which, writing $B^{3}$ in the place of $T^{2}-64 S^{3}$, becomes

$$
\begin{aligned}
& B^{3} \Lambda^{\prime 2}=\left(1+8 l^{3}\right)^{3}, \text { or } \\
& B \Lambda^{4}=1+8 l^{3}, \text { or } 8 l^{3}=B \Lambda^{4}-1,
\end{aligned}
$$

whence also

$$
\begin{aligned}
8 T \Lambda^{6} & =8-20\left(B \Lambda^{4}-1\right)-\left(B \Lambda^{4}-1\right)^{2} \\
& =27-18 B \Lambda^{4} \quad-B^{2} \Lambda^{8},
\end{aligned}
$$

or, as this may be written,

$$
\frac{81}{\Lambda^{8}}-\frac{54 B}{\Lambda^{4}}-\frac{24 T}{\Lambda^{2}}-3 B^{2}=0
$$

which, putting therein $q=\frac{3}{\Lambda^{2}}$, becomes

$$
\left(1,0,-6 B,-8 T,-3 B^{2} \gamma(q, 1)^{4}=0,\right.
$$

the above-mentioned equation in $q$.
241. The relation between $\theta$ and $q$ is

$$
\theta=-\frac{1}{2} \frac{T}{A}+\frac{B^{2}}{2 A q},
$$

as may be verified without difficulty. That between $\theta$ and $p$ is

$$
\theta=\frac{1}{4}\left(p+\frac{A}{p}\right),
$$

as appears by the identical equation

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(12 A, 8 T,-6 A^{2},-6 T A,-T^{2}-\frac{1}{4} A^{3} \curlyvee \frac{1}{4}\left(p+\frac{A}{p}\right), 1\right)^{4} \\
= & \frac{1}{64 p^{4}}\left(3 A, 8 T,-12 A^{2},-72 T A,-46 A^{3}-64 T^{2},-72 T A^{2},-12 A^{4}, 8 T A^{2}, 3 A^{6} \curlyvee p, 1\right)^{8} \\
= & \frac{1}{64 p^{4}}\left(1,0,-6 A,-8 T,-3 A^{2} \curlyvee p, 1\right)^{4} \cdot\left(3 A, 8 T, 6 A^{2}, 0,-A^{3} \Varangle p, 1\right)^{4},
\end{aligned}
$$

where the second factor of the product on the right-hand side is

$$
-\frac{p^{4}}{A}\left(1,0,-6 A,-8 T,-3 A^{2} \gamma \frac{A}{p}, 1\right)^{4}
$$

The relation between $p$ and $q$ is then at once found to be

$$
q=\frac{\frac{2 B^{2}}{A}}{p+\frac{A}{p}+\frac{2 T}{A}}
$$

or (since $p, q$ and $A, B$ may be simultaneously interchanged)

$$
p=\frac{\frac{2 A^{2}}{B}}{q+\frac{B}{q}+\frac{2 T}{B}}
$$

242. Let the equations in $p, q$ be represented by $\phi p=0, \psi q=0$ respectively; then we have

$$
\phi p=p^{4}-6 A p^{2}-8 T p-3 A^{2}
$$

and therefore

$$
\frac{1}{4} \phi^{\prime} p=p^{3}-3 A p-2 T
$$

whence

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{4} p \phi^{\prime} p & =p^{4}-3 A p^{2}-2 T p \\
& =3 A p^{2}+6 T p+3 A^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

and therefore

$$
q=\frac{2 B^{2} p}{\frac{1}{12} p \phi^{\prime} p}=\frac{24 B^{2}}{\phi^{\prime} p}
$$

with a like formula for $p$, that is we have

$$
q=\frac{24 B^{2}}{\phi^{\prime} p}, p=\frac{24 A^{2}}{\psi^{\prime} q}
$$

which with the equation

$$
\theta=\frac{1}{4}\left(p+\frac{A}{p}\right)
$$

are the system of equations connecting $\theta, p, q$.
243. As already remarked, we have to consider the two derived covariants

$$
\begin{aligned}
& C U=-T \cdot U+24 S \cdot H U \\
& D U=8 S^{2} \cdot U-3 T \cdot H U
\end{aligned}
$$

and the two derived contravariants

$$
\begin{aligned}
& Y U=3 T \cdot P U-4 S \cdot Q U \\
& Z U=-48 S^{2} \cdot P U+T \cdot Q U
\end{aligned}
$$

which for the canonical form $x^{3}+y^{3}+z^{3}+6 l x y z$ are as follows:
Table No. 70 (addition to).

$$
\begin{aligned}
& C U=\left(1+8 l^{3}\right)\left[\left(-1+4 l^{3}\right)\left(x^{3}+y^{3}+z^{3}\right)+\quad 18 l x y z\right], \\
& D U=\left(1+8 l^{3}\right)\left[l^{2}\left(5+4 l^{3}\right)\left(x^{3}+y^{3}+z^{3}\right)+3\left(1-10 l^{3}\right) x y z\right], \\
& Y U=\left(1+8 l^{3}\right)^{2} \quad\left[\quad l\left(\xi^{3}+\eta^{3}+\zeta^{3}\right)-3 \xi \eta \zeta\right], \\
& Z U=\left(1+8 l^{3}\right)^{2}\left[\left(1+2 l^{3}\right)\left(\xi^{3}+\eta^{3}+\zeta^{3}\right)+18 l^{2} \xi \eta \zeta\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

244. We have conversely

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 3 R . \quad U=3 T \cdot C U+24 S \cdot D U \\
& 3 R \cdot H U=8 S^{2} \cdot C U+T \cdot D U
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& -3 R \cdot P U=T \cdot Y U+4 S \cdot Q U \\
& -3 R \cdot Q U=48 S^{2} \cdot Y U+3 T \cdot P U
\end{aligned}
$$

and also the following formulæ, viz. if

$$
2 \alpha C U-2 \beta D U=\alpha^{\prime} U+6 \beta^{\prime} H U
$$

then

$$
\begin{array}{lr}
\alpha^{\prime}= & -2 T \alpha-16 S^{2} \beta \\
\beta^{\prime}= & 8 S \alpha+T \beta
\end{array}
$$

which give, conversely,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \alpha=\frac{1}{2 R}\left(T \alpha^{\prime}+16 S^{2} \beta^{\prime}\right) \\
& \beta=\frac{1}{2 R}\left(-8 S \alpha^{\prime}-2 T \beta^{\prime}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

and moreover, if

$$
-2 \alpha Y U+2 \beta Z U=6 \alpha^{\prime} P U+\beta^{\prime} Q U
$$

then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \alpha^{\prime}=-\left(T \alpha+16 S^{2} \beta\right) \\
& \beta^{\prime}=-(-8 S \alpha-2 T \beta)
\end{aligned}
$$

which give, conversely,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \alpha=-\frac{1}{2 R}\left(-2 T \alpha^{\prime}-16 S^{2} \beta^{\prime}\right) \\
& \beta=-\frac{1}{2 R}\left(8 S \alpha^{\prime}+T \beta^{\prime}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

so that the relation between $(\alpha, \beta)$ and $\left(\alpha^{\prime}, \beta^{\prime}\right)$ in the present case is similar to that between $\left(\alpha^{\prime}, \beta^{\prime}\right)$ and $(\alpha, \beta)$ in the former case. It may be noticed that in all these systems of linear equations, the determinant of transformation is a multiple of $64 S^{3}-T^{2}(=R)$.
245. It will be convenient, before giving the Tables for the covariants of

$$
\alpha U+6 \beta H U, \quad 2 \alpha C U-2 \beta D U, \quad 6 \alpha P U+\beta Q U, \quad 2 \alpha Y U-2 \beta Z U,
$$

which replace Tables 68 and 69 of my Third Memoir, to give the following separate Table of the quantics in $(\alpha, \beta)$ which enter into the expressions of the invariants in Tables 68 and 69, and in these new Tables.

## Table No. 73.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(1,0,-24 S,-8 T, \quad-48 S^{2} \gamma(\alpha, \beta)^{4}\right. \\
& \left(S, T, \quad 24 S^{2},\right. \\
& \left(4 T S, T^{2}-48 S^{3} \gamma(\alpha, \beta)^{4}\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

$\left(T, 96 S^{2}, 60 T S, 20 T^{2}, 240 T S^{2},-48 T^{2} S+4608 S^{4},-8 T^{3}+576 T S^{3} \gamma(\alpha, \beta)^{6}\right.$.

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left(48 S, 8 T,-96 S^{2},-24 T S,-T^{2}-16 S^{3}\right\}(\alpha, \beta)^{4} \\
\left\{\begin{array}{c}
T^{2}+192 S^{3}, \\
128 T S^{2}, \\
18 T^{2} S+384 S^{4},(\alpha, \beta)^{4}, \\
T^{3}+64 T S^{3}, \\
5 T^{2} S^{2}-64 S^{5},
\end{array}\right. \\
\left\{\begin{array}{c}
-8 T^{3}+4608 T S^{3}, \\
1920 T^{2} S^{2}+73728 S^{5}, \\
360 T^{3} S+38400 T S^{4}, \\
20 T^{4}+8960 T^{2} S^{3}, \\
840 T^{3} S^{2}+7680 T S^{5}, \\
36 T^{4} S+384 T^{2} S^{4}+24576 S^{4}, \\
1 T^{5}-40 T^{3} S^{3}+2560 T S^{6},
\end{array}\right.
\end{gathered}
$$

where the first part of the Table contains the quantics in $(\alpha, \beta)$ which relate to the forms $\alpha U+6 \beta H U$ and $2 \alpha Y U-2 \beta Z U$, and the second part of the Table contains the quantics in $(\alpha, \beta)$ which relate to the forms $6 \alpha P U+\beta Q U$ and $-2 \alpha C U+2 \beta D U$.

The quantics in $(\alpha, \beta)$ contained in the foregoing Table are in the sequel indicated by means of their leading coefficients; as thus,

$$
\left(1,0,-24 S, \ldots(\alpha, \beta)^{4}, \quad\left(S, T, \ldots(\alpha, \beta)^{4}, \quad\left(T^{2}+192 S^{3}, \ldots(\alpha, \beta)^{4}, \& c .\right.\right.\right.
$$

246. It is easy to see what transformations must be performed on the results in Tables 68 and 69 , in order to obtain the new Tables. Thus, in the formation of Table 74, Table 68 gives $\alpha U+6 \beta H U$ and $H(\alpha U+6 \beta H U)$, and from these $C(\alpha U+6 \beta H U)$,
$D(\alpha U+6 \beta H U)$ have to be found: the same Table gives also $P(\alpha U+6 \beta H U)$, $Q(\alpha U+6 \beta H U)$, but the expressions of these quantities $Y U, Z U$ have to be introduced in the place of $P U, Q U$; and from the expressions so transformed are deduced also the expressions for $Y(\alpha U+6 \beta H U), Z(\alpha U+6 \beta H U)$. Table 75 is to be deduced from Table 69 by writing therein ( $\alpha^{\prime}, \beta^{\prime}$ ), for $(\alpha, \beta)$, and then putting $6 \alpha^{\prime} P U+\beta^{\prime} Q U$ $=2 \alpha Y U-2 \beta Z U$, which, as is seen above, gives $\alpha^{\prime}, \beta^{\prime}$ as functions of $\alpha, \beta$ and of the invariants $S$ and $T$; but in some of the formulæ $Y U, Z U$, have to be introduced in the place of $P U, Q U$. And so for the Tables 76 and 77. The actual effectuation of the transformations would, it is almost needless to remark, be very laborious, but the forms of the results are easily foreseen, and the results can then be verified by means of one or two coefficients only. The new Tables are

## Table No. 74.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& R(\alpha U+6 \beta H U)=R \times\left[\left(1,0,-24 S, \ldots \gamma(\alpha, \beta)^{4}\right]^{3},\right. \\
& S(\alpha U+6 \beta H U)=\quad(S, T \quad, \ldots \gamma, \beta)^{4}, \\
& T(\alpha U+6 \beta H U)=\quad\left[\left(T, 96 S^{2}, \ldots \gamma(\alpha, \beta)\right]^{6} .\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& (\alpha U+6 \beta H U)=\quad \alpha U+6 \beta H U, \\
& H(\alpha U+6 \beta H U)=-\frac{1}{24} \times\left\{\begin{array}{c}
\partial_{\beta}\left(1,0,-24 S, \ldots \gamma(\alpha, \beta)^{4} \cdot U\right. \\
-6 \partial_{\alpha}\left(1,0,-24 S, \ldots \gamma(\alpha, \beta)^{4} \cdot H U\right.
\end{array}\right. \\
& C(\alpha U+6 \beta H U)=\left(1,0,-24 S, \ldots \gamma(\alpha, \beta)^{4} \times\right. \\
& \left\{\begin{array}{c}
\partial_{\beta}(S, T, \ldots \gamma \alpha, \beta)^{4} \cdot U \\
-6 \partial_{a}(S, T, \ldots \gamma \alpha, \beta)^{4} \cdot H U,
\end{array}\right. \\
& D(\alpha U+6 \beta H U)=\frac{1}{12}\left(1,0,-24 S, \ldots \gamma(\alpha, \beta)^{4} \times\right. \\
& \left\{\begin{array}{c}
\partial_{\beta}\left(T, 96 S^{2}, \ldots \gamma \alpha, \beta\right)^{6} \cdot U \\
-6 \partial_{\alpha}\left(T, 96 S^{2}, \ldots \gamma \alpha, \beta\right)^{6} \cdot H U,
\end{array}\right. \\
& P(\alpha U+6 \beta H U)=-\frac{1}{3 R} \times\left\{\begin{array}{r}
\partial_{\beta}(S, T, \ldots \gamma \alpha, \beta)^{4} \cdot Y U \\
+\partial_{\alpha}(S, T, \ldots \gamma \alpha, \beta)^{4} \cdot Z U,
\end{array}\right. \\
& Q(\alpha U+6 \beta H U)=-\frac{1}{6 R} \times\left\{\begin{array}{r}
\partial_{\beta}\left(T, 96 S^{2}, \ldots \gamma \alpha, \beta\right)^{6} . Y U \\
+\partial_{\alpha}\left(T, 96 S^{2}, \ldots \gamma \alpha, \beta\right)^{6} . Z U,
\end{array}\right. \\
& Y(\alpha U+6 \beta H U)=\quad\left[(1,0 .-24 S, \ldots \gamma \alpha, \beta)^{4}\right\rfloor^{2} \times \\
& (-2 \alpha Y U+2 \beta Z U) \text {, } \\
& Z(\alpha U+6 \beta H U)=\quad \frac{1}{4}\left[(1,0,-24 S, \ldots \gamma, \beta)^{4}\right]^{2} \times \\
& \left\{\begin{array}{r}
\partial_{\beta}\left(1,0,-24 S, \ldots \gamma(\alpha, \beta)^{4} \cdot Y U\right. \\
+\partial_{a}\left(1,0,-24 S, \ldots \gamma(\alpha, \beta)^{4} . Z U .\right.
\end{array}\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

## No. 75.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& R(-2 \alpha Y U+2 \beta Z U)=-4096 R^{8} \times\left[(S, T, \ldots \gamma \alpha, \beta)^{4}\right]^{3}, \\
& S(-2 \alpha Y U+2 \beta Z U)=-R^{3} \times(1,0,-24 S, \ldots \gamma \alpha, \beta)^{4}, \\
& T(-2 \alpha Y U+2 \beta Z U)=-8 R^{4} \times\left(T, 96 S^{2}, \ldots \gamma \alpha, \beta\right)^{6} .
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
-2 \alpha Y U+2 \beta Z U=\quad-2 \alpha Y U+2 \beta Z U
$$

$H(-2 \alpha Y U+2 \beta Z U)=-\frac{2}{3} R \times\left\{\begin{array}{r}\partial_{\beta}\left(S, T, \ldots \gamma(\alpha, \beta)^{4} . Y U\right. \\ +\partial_{\alpha}(S, T, \ldots \gamma \alpha, \beta)^{4} \cdot Z U,\end{array}\right.$
$C(-2 \alpha Y U+2 \beta Z U)=-16 R^{4}\left(S, T, \ldots \gamma(\alpha, \beta)^{4} \times\right.$

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{r}
\partial_{\beta}\left(1,0,-24 S, \ldots \gamma(\alpha, \beta)^{4} \cdot Y U\right. \\
+\partial_{a}(1,0,-24 S, \ldots \gamma \alpha, \beta)^{4} \cdot Z U
\end{array}\right.
$$

$D(-2 \alpha Y U+2 \beta Z U)=-\frac{32}{3} R^{5}\left(S, T, \ldots \gamma(\alpha, \beta)^{4} \times\right.$

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{r}
\partial_{\beta}\left(T,-96 S^{2}, \ldots \gamma \alpha, \beta\right)^{6} \cdot Y U \\
+\partial_{\alpha}\left(T, 96 S^{2}, \ldots \gamma \alpha, \beta\right)^{6} \cdot Z U
\end{array}\right.
$$

$P(-2 \alpha Y U+2 \beta Z U)=\frac{1}{6} R^{2} \times\left\{\begin{array}{r}\partial_{\beta}(1,0,-24 S, \ldots \gamma \alpha, \beta)^{4} . \quad U \\ -6 \partial_{\alpha}(1,0,-24 S, \ldots \gamma \alpha, \beta)^{4} . H U,\end{array}\right.$
$Q(-2 \alpha Y U+2 \beta Z U)=-\frac{2}{3} R^{3} \times\left\{\begin{array}{r}\partial_{\beta}\left(T, 96 S^{2}, \ldots \gamma \alpha, \beta\right)^{6} . \quad U \\ -6 \partial_{\alpha}\left(T, 96 S^{2}, \ldots \gamma \alpha, \beta\right)^{6} . H U,\end{array}\right.$

$$
\begin{array}{r}
Y(-2 \alpha Y U+2 \beta Z U)=256 R^{6}\left[\left(S, T, \ldots \gamma(\alpha, \beta)^{4}\right]^{2} \times\right. \\
(\alpha U+6 \beta H U),
\end{array}
$$

$Z(-2 \alpha Y U+2 \beta Z U)=-512 R^{7}\left[(S, T, \ldots \gamma \alpha, \beta)^{4}\right]^{2} \times$

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{r}
\partial_{\beta}(S, T, \ldots \gamma \alpha, \beta)^{4} \cdot \quad U \\
-6 \partial_{\alpha}\left(S, T, \ldots \gamma(\alpha, \beta)^{4} \cdot H U .\right.
\end{array}\right.
$$

No. 76.

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
R(2 \alpha C U-2 \beta D U)=-4096 R^{4} \times\left[\left(T^{2}+192 S^{3}\right.\right. & \left., \ldots \gamma \alpha, \beta)^{4}\right]^{3}, \\
S(2 \alpha C U-2 \beta D U)=-R^{2} \times(48 S, 8 T & , \ldots \gamma \alpha, \beta)^{4}, \\
T(2 \alpha C U-2 \beta D U)=-8 R^{2} \times\left(-8 T^{3}+4608 T S^{3}, \ldots \gamma \alpha, \beta\right)^{6} .
\end{array}
$$

$$
\left.\begin{array}{lc}
2 \alpha C U-2 \beta D U= & 2 \alpha C U-2 \beta D U, \\
H(2 \alpha C U-2 \beta D U)= & \frac{2}{3} \times\left\{\begin{array}{r}
\partial_{\beta}\left(T^{2}+192 S^{3}, \ldots \gamma(\alpha, \beta)^{4} \cdot C U\right. \\
+\partial_{\alpha}\left(T^{2}+192 S^{3}, \ldots \chi(\alpha, \beta)^{4} \cdot D U,\right.
\end{array}\right. \\
C(2 \alpha C U-2 \beta D U)= & -16 R^{2}\left(T^{2}+192 S^{3}, \ldots \chi \alpha, \beta\right)^{4} \times
\end{array}\right\} \begin{array}{r}
\partial_{\beta}(48 S, 8 T, \ldots \chi \alpha, \beta)^{4} \cdot C U \\
+\partial_{\alpha}(48 S, 8 T, \ldots \gamma \alpha, \beta)^{4} \cdot D U,
\end{array}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& D(2 \alpha C U-2 \beta D U)= \begin{array}{c}
\frac{32}{3} R^{2}\left(T^{2}+192 S^{3} \quad, \ldots \gamma(\alpha, \beta)^{4} \times\right. \\
\\
\\
\partial_{\beta}\left(-8 T^{3}+4608 T S^{3}, \ldots \gamma(\alpha, \beta)^{6} \cdot C U\right.
\end{array} \\
&+\partial_{\alpha}\left(-8 T^{3}+4608 T S^{3}, \ldots \gamma \alpha, \beta\right)^{6} \cdot D U,
\end{aligned} ~ \$
$$

$$
P(2 \alpha C U-2 \beta D U)=\quad \frac{1}{6} R \quad \times\left\{\begin{array}{r}
6 \partial_{\beta}\left(48 S, 8 T, \ldots \chi(\alpha, \beta)^{4} \cdot P U\right. \\
-\partial_{a}(48 S, 8 T, \ldots \chi \alpha, \beta)^{4} \cdot Q U,
\end{array}\right.
$$

$$
Q(2 \alpha C U-2 \beta D U)=\quad \frac{2}{3} R \quad \times\left\{\begin{array}{r}
6 \partial_{\beta}\left(-8 T^{3}+4608 T S^{3}, \ldots(\alpha, \beta)^{6} \cdot P U\right. \\
-\partial_{\alpha}\left(-8 T^{3}+4608 T S^{3}, \ldots X \alpha, \beta\right)^{6} \cdot Q U,
\end{array}\right.
$$

$$
Y(2 \alpha C U-2 \beta D U)=216 R^{3} \quad\left[\left(T^{2}+192 S^{3}, \ldots \gamma(\alpha, \beta)^{4}\right]^{2} x\right.
$$

$$
(6 \alpha P U+\beta Q U),
$$

$Z(2 \alpha C U-2 \beta D U)=-512 R^{3}\left[\left(T^{2}+192 S^{3}, \ldots \gamma(\alpha, \beta)^{4}\right]^{2} \times\right.$

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{r}
6 \partial_{\beta}\left(T^{2}+192 S^{3}, \ldots(\alpha, \beta)^{4} \cdot P U\right. \\
-\partial_{\alpha}\left(T^{2}+192 S^{3}, \ldots(\alpha, \beta)^{4} \cdot Q U .\right.
\end{array}\right.
$$

## No. 77.

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
R(6 \alpha P U+\beta Q U)=R^{2} \times[(48 S, 8 T & \left., \ldots \gamma(\alpha, \beta)^{4}\right]^{3}, \\
S(6 \alpha P U+\beta Q U)= & \left(T^{2}+192 S^{3}\right.
\end{array}, \ldots(\alpha, \beta)^{4},
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 6 \alpha P U+\beta Q U)=\quad 6 \alpha P U+\beta Q U, \\
& H(6 \alpha P U+\beta Q U)=-\frac{1}{24} \times\left\{\begin{array}{r}
6 \partial_{\beta}(48 S, 8 T, \ldots \gamma \alpha, \beta)^{4} \cdot P U \\
-\partial_{\alpha}(48 S, 8 T, \ldots \gamma \alpha, \beta)^{4} \cdot Q U,
\end{array}\right. \\
& C(6 \alpha P U+\beta Q U)=\quad-\left(48 S, 8 T, \ldots \gamma(\alpha, \beta)^{4} \times\right. \\
& \left\{\begin{array}{r}
6 \partial_{\beta}\left(T^{2}+192 S^{3}, \ldots \gamma \alpha, \beta\right)^{4} \cdot P U \\
-\partial_{\alpha}\left(T^{2}+192 S^{3}, \ldots \gamma \alpha, \beta\right)^{4} \cdot Q U,
\end{array}\right. \\
& D(6 \alpha P U+\beta Q U)=\quad \frac{1}{12}\left(48 S, 8 T, \ldots \gamma(\alpha, \beta)^{4} \times\right. \\
& \left\{\begin{array}{r}
6 \partial_{\beta}\left(-8 T^{3}+4608 T S^{3}, \ldots \gamma(\alpha, \beta)^{6} \cdot P U\right. \\
-\partial_{\alpha}\left(-8 T^{3}+4608 T S^{3}, \ldots \gamma(\alpha, \beta)^{6} \cdot Q U,\right.
\end{array}\right. \\
& P(6 \alpha P U+\beta Q U)=\frac{1}{3 R} \times\left\{\begin{array}{r}
\partial_{\beta}\left(T^{2}+192 S^{3}, \ldots \gamma \alpha, \beta\right)^{4} \cdot C U \\
+\partial_{\alpha}\left(T^{2}+192 S^{3}, \ldots \gamma \alpha, \beta\right)^{4} \cdot D U,
\end{array}\right. \\
& Q(6 \alpha P U+\beta Q U)=\frac{1}{6 R} \times\left\{\begin{array}{c}
\partial_{\beta}\left(-8 T^{3}+4608 T S^{3}, \ldots \gamma \alpha, \beta\right)^{6} \cdot C U \\
+\partial_{a}\left(-8 T^{3}+4608 T S^{3}, \ldots \gamma \alpha, \beta\right)^{6} . D U
\end{array}\right. \\
& Y(6 \alpha P U+\beta Q U)=-\frac{1}{2} R \cdot\left[\left(48 S, 8 T, \ldots \gamma(\alpha, \beta)^{4}\right]^{2} \times\right. \\
& (-2 \alpha C U+2 \beta D U), \\
& Z(6 \alpha P U+\beta Q U)=-\frac{1}{4} R\left[(48 S, 8 T, \ldots \gamma \alpha, \beta)^{4}\right]^{2} \times \\
& \left\{\begin{array}{c}
\partial_{\beta}(48 S, 8 T, \ldots \gamma \alpha, \beta)^{4} \cdot C U \\
+\partial_{\alpha}(48 S, 8 T, \ldots \gamma \alpha, \beta)^{4} \cdot D U .
\end{array}\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

247. It will be noticed how Tables 74 and 75 form a system involving only the quantics in $(\alpha, \beta)$ contained in the first part of Table 73, and how, in like manner, Tables 76 and 77 form a system involving only the quantics in $(\alpha, \beta)$ contained in the second part of Table 73; and, moreover, how in each pair of Tables the covariants, \&c. correspond to each other as follows, viz.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& R, S, T, 1, H, C, D, P, Q, Y, Z \text { to } \\
& S, R, T, Y, P, Z, Q, H, D, 1, C
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus in Table 74,-the formula for $H(\alpha U+6 \beta H U)$, and in Table 75 ,-the formula for $P(2 \alpha Y U-2 \beta Z U)$,
each of them involve the same factor

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{c}
\partial_{\beta}\left(1,0,-24 S, \ldots \gamma(\alpha, \beta)^{4} \cdot U\right. \\
-6 \partial_{\alpha}\left(1,0,-24 S, \ldots \gamma(\alpha, \beta)^{4} \cdot H U\right.
\end{array}\right.
$$

and so in all the other cases.
248. The quantics in $(\alpha, \beta)$ in each part of the foregoing Table 73 are covariantively connected together. In fact, considering the function $\left(1,0,-24 S, \ldots \gamma(\alpha, \beta)^{4}\right.$, which for shortness I call $G$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
G & =\left(1,0,-24 S, \ldots \gamma(\alpha, \beta)^{4}\right. \\
I G & =0 \\
J G & =4\left(64 S^{3}-T^{2}\right)=4 R \\
\square G & =(I G)^{3}-27(J G)^{2}=-432 R^{2} \\
H G & =-4\left(S, T, \ldots \quad(\alpha, \beta)^{4}\right. \\
\Phi G & =2\left(T, 96 S^{2}, \ldots \quad \gamma(\alpha, \beta)^{6} .\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

The last-mentioned formulæ, by the aid of Table 72, give rise to the following more general system in which they are themselves included.

Table No. 78.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\lambda G+6 \mu H G & =\lambda G+6 \mu H G \\
H(\lambda G+6 \mu H G) & =36 \mu^{2} G+\lambda^{2} H G \\
\Phi(\lambda G+6 \mu H G) & =\left(\lambda^{3}-216 R \mu^{3}\right) 2\left(T, 96 S^{2}, \ldots \gamma \alpha, \beta\right)^{6} \\
I(\lambda G+6 \mu H G) & =72 R \lambda \mu \\
J(\lambda G+6 \mu H G) & =4 R\left(\lambda^{3}+216 R \mu^{3}\right) \\
\square(\lambda G+6 \mu H G) & =-432 R^{2}\left(\lambda^{3}-216 R \mu^{3}\right)^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

The expression for $H(\lambda G+6 \mu H G)$, putting therein $\lambda=0$, shows that, to a numerical factor près, H.HG is equal to $G$, and hence, disregarding numerical factors, we may say that each of the quartics $\left(1,0,24 S, \ldots(\alpha, \beta)^{4},(S, T, \ldots \ell, \beta)^{4}\right.$, is the Hessian of the other of them, and that the sextic $\left(T, 96 S^{2}, \ldots \gamma \alpha, \beta\right)^{6}$ is the cubicovariant of each of them.
249. Similarly, if the function $(48 S, 8 T, \ldots \gamma, \beta)^{4}$ is for shortness called $G$, then we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
G & =\left(48 S, 8 T, \ldots(\alpha, \beta)^{4}\right. \\
I G & =0 \\
J G & =4\left(64 S^{3}-T^{2}\right)^{2}=4 R^{2} \\
\square G & =(I G)^{3}-27(J G)^{2}=-432 R^{4} \\
H G & =-4\left(T^{2}+192 S^{3}, \ldots \gamma(\alpha, \beta)^{4}\right. \\
\Phi G & =-2\left(-8 T^{3}+4608 T S^{3}, \ldots \gamma(\alpha, \beta)^{4} .\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

The last-mentioned formulæ, by the aid of the same Table 72, give rise to the more general system in which they are themselves included.

Table No. 79.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\lambda G+6 \mu H G & =\lambda G+6 \mu H G \\
H(\lambda G+6 \mu H G) & =36 R^{2} \mu^{2} G+\lambda^{2} H G \\
\Phi(\lambda G+6 \mu H G) & =\left(\lambda^{3}-216 R^{2} \mu^{3}\right) \times-2\left(-8 T^{3}+4608 T S^{3}, \ldots \gamma \alpha, \beta\right)^{6} \\
I(\lambda G+6 \mu H G) & =72 R^{2} \lambda \mu \\
J(\lambda G+6 \mu H G) & =4 R^{2}\left(\lambda^{3}+216 R^{2} \mu^{3}\right) \\
\square(\lambda G+6 \mu H G) & =-432 R^{4}\left(\lambda^{3}-216 R^{2} \mu^{3}\right)^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

The expression for $H(\lambda G+6 \mu H G)$, putting therein $\lambda=0$, shows that, to a numerical factor près, H.HG is equal to $G$; so that, disregarding numerical factors, we may say that each of the quartics $\left(48 S, T, \ldots \gamma(\alpha, \beta)^{4},\left(T^{2}+192 S^{3}, \ldots \gamma(\alpha, \beta)^{4}\right.\right.$, is the Hessian of the other of them, and that the sextic $\left(-8 T^{3}+4608 T S^{3}, \ldots \gamma, \beta\right)^{6}$ is the cubicovariant of each of them.
250. But besides this, the quantics in $(\alpha, \beta)$ in the two parts of the Table 73 are linearly connected together: the linear relations in question are in fact the equations whereon depend the expressions for the invariants in Tables 76 and 77 as deduced from those in Tables 74 and 75 ; and in the order of proof, they precede the formulæ in these four Tables. The linear relations are

Table No. 80.

| $(1,0,-24 S, \ldots$ | $\gamma-2 T \alpha-16 S^{2} \beta$, | $8 S \alpha+T \beta)^{4}=-16 R\left(T^{2}+192 S^{3}, \ldots\right.$ | $\gamma(\alpha, \beta)^{4}$, |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| $(S, T, \ldots$ | $\gamma-2 T \alpha-16 S^{2} \beta$, | $8 S \alpha+T \beta)^{4}=$ | $R^{2}(48 S, 8 T, \ldots$ | $\gamma \alpha, \beta)^{4}$, |
| $\left(T, 96 S^{2}, \ldots\right.$ | $\gamma-2 T \alpha-16 S^{2} \beta$, | $8 S \alpha+T \beta)^{6}=-8 R^{2}\left(-8 T^{3}+4608 T S^{3}, \ldots \gamma \alpha, \beta\right)^{6}$. |  |  |


| (48S, $8 T$, . | $\left.T \alpha+16 S^{2} \beta,-8 S \alpha-2 T \beta\right)^{4}=-16 R^{2}(S, T, \ldots$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\left(T^{2}+192 S^{3}, \ldots\right.$ | $\left.T \alpha+16 S^{2} \beta,-8 S \alpha-2 T \beta\right)^{4}=-\quad R^{3}(1,0,-24 S, \ldots$ |
| $\left(-8 T^{3}+4608 T S^{3}, \ldots\right.$ | $\left.T \alpha+16 S^{2} \beta,-8 S \alpha-2 T \beta\right)^{6}=-8 R^{4}\left(T, 96 S^{2}\right.$, |

Hence, attending to the remarks on the Tables 78 and 79 , we may say that the quartics

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(1,0,-24 S, \ldots \gamma(\alpha, \beta)^{4}\right. \\
& \left(48 S, T, \ldots \quad(\alpha, \beta)^{4},\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

which belong to the two parts respectively of Table 73 , and which are related, the first of them to the discriminants of $\alpha U+6 \beta H U$ and $2 \alpha Y U-2 \beta Z U$, and the second to the discriminants of $6 \alpha P U+\beta Q U,-2 \alpha C U+2 \beta D U$, have these relations to each other, viz. each is a linear transformation of the Hessian of the other of them, and the cubicovariant of each is a linear transformation of the cubicovariant of the other.


[^0]:    ${ }_{1}$ M. Aronhold, in a letter dated Berlin, 17 June 1861, has pointed out to me that the covariants $C U, D U$ are in his notation $P_{\mathrm{S}_{f}}, P_{\mathrm{T}_{f}}$, and that they belong to the forms called Conjugate Forms, $\S 27$ of his memoir. But the explicit development of the properties of these covariants is not on this account the less interesting. Added 20 Sept. 1861.-A.C.

[^1]:    ${ }^{1}$ The coefficient $2916 J^{2}$ is in the Fifth Memoir erroneously given as $-2916 J^{2}$. [This correction should have been made, vol. II. p. 549.]

